

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

09/695,543 10/24/2000 Alan T. Slater 3184/15US 3493 31013 7590 12/23/2003 EXAMINER KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP ELISCA, PIERRE E	APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP ELISCA, PIERRE E	09/695,543		10/24/2000	Alan T. Slater	3184/15US	3493
,	31013	7590	12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
				ELISCA, PIERRE E		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 919 THIRD AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PAPER NUMBER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10022 3621	, .,		-		3621	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/695,543

Applicant(s)

Alan T. Slater

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit 3621



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	l date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)区	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/09/	
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 3-4, And 7-8	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 1,2, Any 5-8	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a)[The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
or ∐ im	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 10/09/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

3. Claims 3-4, and 7-8 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e), Patent Application Publication or Patent to Another with Earlier Filing Date, in view of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 20902.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122 (b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United

Art Unit: 3621

States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21 (2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 2, and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Nichols et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,354,491).

As per claims 1, 2, and 5-6 Nichols discloses a check writing point of sale system that designed to accept payer account number input with a subsequent debiting of a payer account and crediting merchant's account for the goods or services, comprising:

storing in a database information concerning checking accounts including a first table of checking accounts that are not in good standing and a second table of checking accounts that are in good standing (see., col 7, lines 35-59, specifically wherein it is stated that the system is comprised of three separate but interactive databases. A merchant database checkwriter database stores records of all service subscribers authorized to initiate electronic checking requests, and col 1, lines 23-54); receiving checking account information extracted from a tendered check (see., col 3, lines 7-16, specifically wherein it is stated that point of sale purchases of goods and services paid from consumer funds secured in bank checking or depository accounts, and also col 8, lines 61-67); without providing access to sources of checking account information other than said comprehensive database, comparing said checking account information from said tendered check to said first table of checking accounts that are not in good standing and said second table of checking accounts that are in good standing (see., col 9, lines 25-44, specifically wherein it is stated that approval process

Application/Control Number: 09/695,543

Art Unit: 3621

Page 4

or disapproved or when verifying social security or comparing social security and bank account, col

14, lines 42-67, please note that comprehensive database or first table of checking accounts);

returning an indication that said tendered check can not be verified if said checking account

information from said tendered check matches said first table of checking accounts that are not in

good standing (see., col 9, lines 25-44, specifically wherein it is stated that when approved transaction

events are transmitted to the consumer's financial institution, and the step of good standing see., col

14, lines 13-41);

returning an indication that said tendered check can be verified if said checking account information

from said tendered check matches said second table of checking accounts that are in good standing

(see., col 9, lines 25-44, and the step of good standing see., col 14, lines 13-41); and

presenting said tendered check for negotiation if said indication that said tendered check can be

verified is returned (see., col 14, lines 42-67, specifically wherein it is stated that a match is found and

where the checkwritter's status field within the database is listed in good standing, please note that

good standing or check is verified, and also col 2, lines 52-57). Furthermore, first and second tables

are readable as the process of matching checkwritter).

REMARKS

In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the Nichols' reference fails to 6.

disclose: "building a comprehensive database of checking account information that eschews reliance

on accessing". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees since the Nichols' reference discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/695,543

Art Unit: 3621

this limitation in col 7, lines 35-59, specifically wherein it is stated that the system is comprised of

three separate but interactive databases. A merchant database checkwriter database stores records

of all service subscribers authorized to initiate electronic checking requests, and col 1, lines 23-54,

and col 3, lines 7-16, specifically wherein it is stated that point of sale purchases of goods and

services paid from consumer funds secured in bank checking or depository accounts, and also col 8,

lines 61-67).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre

Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from

6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

June / Julia Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

December 20, 2003